





EACTS Master Class on Aortic Valve Repair

Type A Dissection and Aortic Repair for Regurgitation (AR): Indications, Tips, and Tricks

Joseph E. Bavaria, MD Director, Thoracic Aortic Surgery Program Roberts Measey Professor and Vice Chair of CV Surgery University of Pennsylvania Immediate-Past President STS



What about Acute Type A Dissection?

Can we decrease late Proximal Aortic Complications of Re-operation, Aneurysm and AI?





The Concepts behind the **Rational Design of a Theraputic Operation for** Type A Dissection (circa earlymid 1990's)



Acute Type A Dissection: Design of an Operation

Cause of death	Treatment
Acute CHF due to Al	Aortic valve resuspension
Coronary malperfusion	Aortic root repair
Cerebral malperfusion	Arch replacement
Free Ascending rupture	Asc aortic replacement
Felt "neo-media" placed in non-coronary sinus	



Aortic Dissection: Mechanisms of Aortic Regurgitation





TEE ME AoV LAX

Type A Debakey II video: long axis Best Case??





Reasons for <u>Not</u> Performing a Valve Re-suspension and Doing a Root

- Marfan's (Sinus Aneurysm; 5-10%)
 Bicuspid Valve or Primary Valve leaflet abnormality (10-15%)
- Intimal Tear (not dissection) into sinus segment (Could do a David V in this situation)

(not simply a dissection down to the annulus)

?? Does the presence of Dissection layers into the Root = Re-implantation (or a Root) ???



Malperfusion Syndrome in Acute Type A Dissection: Results/Coronary

- Coronary Malperfusion is 6-12% of casesHas high Hospital Mortality
 - 31% with vs 11% without in Penn Series
 - Multivariate odds ratio = 5.1 for in-hospital mortality from Emilia-Romagna AAD registry
- 56% Needed Root Replacement and 63% Coronary Revascularization (Usually RCA graft)
 - The Reason WHY Root Replacement has a 2.5 Odds ratio for 30-day mortality: Penn Series n= 490, 2011
 - No difference in Native CAD All Result of dissection



Aortic Root Options







What About the Root?

Mechanism of Aortic Regurgitation in Type A Dissection







The Horizon Regarding the "Root Debate"

The Trans-Atlantic Rift



Type A (Debakey Type I) Dissection: Pre and Post Proximal Repair with FET Distal Graft: <u>Note</u> <u>Root Repair and Al</u>



Repaired Valve/Root



Aortic Valve Resuspension





ROBUST: Aortic Root Reconstruction/Sinus of ValSalva Repair

Fig.2

Felt "neo-media" placed in

non-coronary sinus

Fig.1





Obliteration of Proximal False Lumen





Bavaria JE, et al; AATS 2001

Completed Root Repair and Aortic Valve Resuspension with Neo-Media



IMPORTANT: **72%** of Aortic Roots/Valves were NORMAL prior to Dissection!

Aortic Valve Resuspension





Aortic Root Neo-media (Aggressive)





Proximal "Intussuscepted" Anastomosis after Root Repair with Neomedia and Resuspension





Type A Dissection with Valve Resuspension and Ascending & Hemi-Arch (+/- Bioglue)

Note: Finished Product, Efficient Conduct of operation; Classic operation





Acute Type A Dissection: Freedom from <u>Proximal</u> Re-Operation using <u>"Neo-Media"</u> Resuspension and the Penn Aortic Root Decision algorithm



STS Jan 2014; Desai, Bavaria, et al, Ann. Thor. Surg 2014

Figure 5

Felt neo-media: Proximal and Distal anastomosis (hemiarch): Type A Dissection Repair



Data and Outcomes

What is the present status of PROXIMAL Aortic (and Valve) <u>Re-</u> <u>operation</u> after Type A Dissection Repair?





What About Simple Ascending Supra-Coronary Graft with <u>No</u> <u>Resuspension ??</u>



Fate of the Preserved Aortic Root after Type A Dell'Aquila AM, et al; JTCVS 2012 (Genova, Italy)

- Supra coronary
- No isolated Resuspension
- +/- glue
- 11% reop in survivors
- 92% Freedom from <u>Reop @ 5 yrs.</u>



Supracoronary Ascending Repair in Acute Type A : What Happens to the Aortic Root?

Rylski, B et al; JTCVS 2012 (Germany)

- 119/152 had this index operation (2001-2009)
- No isolated Resuspension or Aggressive Root procedure except use of glue
- 10% Proximal Reoperation rate @ <u>5.4 years</u>

This is not very satisfactory



Cite this article as: Peterss S, Dumfarth J, Rizzo JA, Bonaros N, Fang H, Tranquilli M et al. Sparing the aortic root in acute aortic dissection type A: risk reduction and restored integrity of the untouched root. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:232-9.

Sparing the aortic root in acute aortic dissection type A: risk reduction and restored integrity of the untouched root[†]

Sven Peterss^{a,b,*}, Julia Dumfarth^{a,c,*}, John A. Rizzo^{a,d}, Nikolaos Bonaros^c, Hai Fang^{a,e}, Maryann Tranquilli^a, Thomas Schachner^c, Bulat A. Ziganshin^{a,f}, Michael Grimm^c and John A. Elefteriades^{a,*}



Root Sparing Techniques

- Freedom from Aortic Root Events = 92% at 10 years
- Growth of Aortic Root = 0.4 mm/year (very slow)



More Recent Series with "Aggressive" Resuspension and Native Root Repair



Acute Type A Dissection: Long Term Results and Reoperation

Bekkers JA, et al; EJCTS, 2013 (Rotterdam)

- Freedom from Aortic Valve reoperation (preserved valves) (a) 10 yrs = 85.6%
- For Freedom from Proximal operation was 89% (20/182 survivors) @ 7.2 years (60% valve; 40% Root)



Hybrid Proximal Surgery plus Endo for Debakey Type I

S. Hofferberth, et al : JTCVS 2013 (Melbourne, Australia)

- 28/37 (76%) Debakey I had Root repair with Resuspension
- Mean F/U = 50 months
- Only 2/37 (5.4%) needed Proximal Reoperation



Aortic root Conservative Repair Acute Type A: Fate of the Root and valve Ro SK, et al; JTCVS 2012 (Korea)

- 196 consecutive patients (1999-2011)
 <u>Aggressive</u> resuspension and root repair
 ONLY one proximal reoperation (10 distal reoperations)
- 99% freedom from proximal reop at 4 years



Proximal Reoperation After Acute Type A Dissection

Malvindi PG, WJ Morshuis, et al; ATS, 2013 (Netherlands)

- N= 104/592
- Mean F/U = 6.5 yrs
- Restoring the Root Geometry at STJ, Resuspension, <u>obliteration of any "flap</u> <u>extension into root"</u>
- = Lower Rate of Proximal Reoperation (p=.009)
- Must obviate a "Pathological evolution of the Aortic root"



Resuspension (aggressive); Felt Neo-media, Small amounts of glue With Root Algorithm

K. Yamanaka et al; EJCTS 2012

- N=140 (2002-2011); 9.3% operative mortality
- Zero proximal aortic reoperation @ mean 44 months
- Geirsson and Bavaria (initial series 2007)
 - 95% freedom from proximal reoperation
 - Note: The Bioglue repair era



Reasons for <u>Not</u> Performing a Valve Re-suspension and Doing a Root

- Are These data equal or as good as Reimplantation??
 - Yes For Type A Dissection





Midterm Results of David V Valve-Sparing Aortic Root Replacement in Acute Type A Aortic Dissection

Bradley G. Leshnower, MD, Richard J. Myung, MD, LaRonica McPherson, RN, and Edward P. Chen, MD

Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Joseph B. Whitehead Department of Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia

Background. The David V valve-sparing aortic root replacement (David V) has been shown to provide excellent long-term valve function and low rates of valve-related complications in the elective treatment of aortic root aneurysms. The safety and durability of the David V in the repair of acute type A aortic dissection (type A) are currently unclear. In this study, the midterm results of David V in the setting of type A aortic dissection were analyzed.

Methods. From 2005 to 2013, 350 patients underwent surgical repair of type A aortic dissection. Outcomes were analyzed in 43 consecutive patients who received a David V during repair of type A aortic dissection. Patients were followed with annual postoperative echocardiograms. Follow-up was 85% complete, with a mean duration of 40 \pm 31 months.

Results. The mean age of these patients was 46 ± 10 years. There were two operative deaths (4.7%), and 93% of patients required a hemiarch replacement (n = 32) or a

total arch replacement (n = 8) using hypothermic circulatory arrest. Cusp repairs were performed in 6 (14%) patients; 51% of patients had 3+ or greater preoperative aortic insufficiency (AI), 83% of patients left the operating room with zero AI, and the remainder had 1+ AI or less. No patient in the follow-up period developed endocarditis or required aortic valve replacement. At midterm follow-up, freedom from 2+ AI was 94%, and freedom from aortic valve replacement was 100%.

Conclusions. The David V can be performed with low morbidity and mortality in young patients presenting with type A aortic dissection who require aortic root replacement. At midterm follow-up, valve function is durable, and the incidence of valve-related complications is low.

> (Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99:795–801) © 2015 by The Society of Thoracic Surgeons

Freedom from Aortic Reintervention after VSRR in acute type A aortic dissection





Valve Sparing Root in Type A Dissection Subramanian, Mohr, et al; Ann Thor Surg 2012 (Germany)

- 208/374 patients received a Root Procedure
 <u>78 received a Valve Sparing Procedure</u>
- Valve Sparing Root surgery showed NO
 Difference in mid-term results with Bentall
 - 5% proximal reoperation rate for Valve Failure at mean = 4 years



EACTS EACTS European Association For Cardio-Thoracic Surgery

Aortic root remodeling leads to good valve stability in acute aortic dissection and preexistent root dilatation



Takashi Kunihara, MD, PhD, Niklas Neumann, MD, Steffen Daniel Kriechbaum, MD, Diana Aicher, MD, and Hans-Joachim Schäfers, MD, PhD

N= 59 patients with Remodeling Valve Sparing Root procedure with Type A Dissection and Aortic Root > 45 mm

-Freedom from Proximal Reoperation = 98% at 10 years excellent results

<u>Goal</u>: Keep Reoperation Low ... However <u>Retain</u> <u>Native Valves</u> when Possible

- Address the Root <u>aggressively</u>
 Do Root procedures in the appropriate cases: DV unless Leaflets abnormal
 - Sinus Dilation
 - "Extensive Destruction" of Root
 - ? left Cusp
 - Intimal TEAR into Sinus segment
 - Marfans/BAV

Otherwise repair the Root/Valve and get good results







Summary/Conclusions

My Approach



VSRR in Acute Type A Dissection: When is it best Utilized? Recently = 20% of Penn cases over past 3 years

- In Patients with a > 10-15 year life expectancy (<60 years)</p>
- In Patients who have Normal Aortic valves and have a Root indication (best cases!)
- Excellent in Debakey II (with above)
- In Patients where an aggressive "Root Restoration" Neo-Media Resuspension may be difficult
- Caution in patients who require Total Arch or Extended Arch operations Too much??



Re-implantation (+ Total Arch) in Type A Dissection



European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery 48 (2015) 152-157 doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezu387 Advance Access publication 29 October 2014

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Cite this article as: Minami H, Miyahara S, Okada K, Matsumori M, Kano H, Inoue T et al. Clinical outcomes of combined aortic root reimplantation technique and total arch replacement. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2015;48:152–7.

Clinical outcomes of combined aortic root reimplantation technique and total arch replacement

Hitoshi Minami, Shunsuke Miyahara, Kenji Okada, Masamichi Matsumori, Hiroya Kano, Takeshi Inoue, Toshihito Sakamoto and Yutaka Okita*

Department of Surgery, Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

20 patients with Acute Type A Dissection and Total Arch procedure

- 0% Mortality; 0% CVA
- Freedom from +3 or +4 AI = 83% at 5 years

VSRR in Acute Type A Dissection: Do I do Anything Differently when Performing a VSRR in Type A Dissection??

- It is the <u>one case type</u> where I use the "Cameron" 3 Stitch (Sub-annular) technique at the primary suture line.
- Use a slightly smaller graft as the annulus is usually smaller in these ACUTE cases
- Nuance: Also because sometimes the aorta has to be cut very close to the annulus so you don't want to "pull" the Coaption apart
 Careful about dissected RCA Button

Recently = 20% of Penn cases over past 3 years



Questions?